



Agenda for the Regular Meeting Dalton Gardens City Council

Wednesday, November 3, 2021 @ 6:00 PM

Location: DALTON GARDENS CITY HALL, 6360 N 4th St., Dalton Gardens, ID

Meeting will be conducted via teleconference and in person.
Please use this link to join the webinar via computer/smartphone:

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82454784145?pwd=VG9oN1Y0M0EzRS8yd1F5SFNYZkxjZz09>

Phone Number: 1-669-900-6833 or 1-346-248-7799 or 1-253-215-8782

Webinar ID: 824 5478 4145; Passcode: 861751

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. ROLL CALL OF CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

4. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD:

Each speaker will be allowed a maximum of three (3) minutes to address the City Council on matters that relate to City government business. Comments related to future public hearings should be held for that public hearing. Please be advised that the City Council can only take official action this evening for those items already listed on the agenda.

5. APPROVAL CONSENT CALENDAR- **ACTION**

a. Minutes from the:

- Regular Meeting October 7, 2021
- Regular Meeting October 14, 2021

b. Claims Listed from October 14, 2021 to October 31, 2021

c. Monthly Financial Statements for October 1-31, 2021

6. CITY REPORTS

- Council
- Mayor
- City Engineer
- City Planner
- City Attorney
- Kootenai County Sheriff
- Clerk/Treasurer

7. NEW BUSINESS

a. Consideration of Resolution for Municipal Consent to Annexation- **ACTION**

b. Consideration of policy for adopting City policies- **ACTION**

c. Consideration of crosswalk at Dalton Ave and Mt. Carrol- **ACTION**

d. Presentation of Transportation Plan Update 2021 by City Engineer

e. Consideration of Transportation Plan Update 2021- **ACTION**

f. Consideration of Tentative Meeting Schedules for 2022- **ACTION**

8. DISCUSSION OF AGENDA ITEMS FOR DECEMBER 2, 2021 MEETING

9. ADJOURNMENT-ACTION

Amended 10/29/21; Original Posted 10/27/21

The purpose of this Agenda is to assist the Council and interested citizens in the conduct of the public meeting. Careful review of the Agenda is encouraged. Testimony from the public will be solicited for any item or issue listed under the category of Public Hearings. Any individual who wishes to address the Council on any other subject should plan to speak when Item: Public Comments is identified by the Mayor. The Mayor and Council will not normally allow audience participation at any other time. Please no repetitive or abusive comments. Workshops are for Council Members discussion only on a topic, no action or decisions occur at that time. No Public Comment is taken during Workshops. Assistance for persons with disabilities will be provided upon 24-hour notice prior to the meeting by calling the City Clerk at (208) 772-3698 ext 102.

**City of Dalton Gardens
Council Meeting Minutes**

Thursday, October 7, 2021 @ 6:00pm

Meeting was conducted via teleconference and in person.

Location: DALTON GARDENS CITY HALL, 6360 N 4th St., Dalton Gardens, ID

Meeting was conducted via teleconference and in person.

- 1. CALL TO ORDER:** Mayor Edwards called the meeting to order at 6:03 PM.
- 2. ROLL CALL OF CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS:** Physically Present were Councilmembers Carrie Chase, Robert Wuest and Ray Craft. Councilmember O'Brien joined via Zoom. Also physically present were Mayor Edwards, Ryan Hughes- City Planner, Chris Gabbert- City Attorney, and Teresa Janzen- Clerk/Treasurer.
- 3. PLEDGE OF ALLIGANCE:** Led by City Planner, Ryan Hughes.
- 4. ~~5.~~ CONSENT CALENDAR**
 - a.** RWuest clarified a typo in the meeting minutes for the 9/2/21 minutes on point 7h to add a '0' to read \$146,000.
AO'Brien made a motion to approve with the stated change the minutes from the regular meeting on 9/2/2021, minutes from the regular meeting 9/14/21, and minutes from the regular meeting 9/28/21. RCraft seconded.
AO'Brien: Yes **RCraft:** Yes **CChase:** Yes **RWuest:** Yes. Motion Carries.
 - b.** RCraft made motion to approve claims listed from September 25, 2021 to September 30, 2021. RWuest seconded.
AO'Brien: Yes **RCraft:** Yes **CChase:** Yes **RWuest:** Yes. Motion Carries.
 - c.** TABLED until 10/14/21 meeting: Monthly Financial Statement for September 1-30, 2021.
 - d.** TABLED until 10/14/21 meeting: Quarterly Financial Statement for 4th Quarter Fiscal Year 2021.
- 5. ~~4.~~ PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD:** opened at 6:14 PM
 - Karen Kimball-6975 N 16th St: Stated that she had been texting with Amanda Deeds of Prairie Wranglers who indicated she was contacted by the City for the \$350 deposit and \$25 facility rental fee. States Amanda applied for a facility fee waiver in the summer and was denied. Karen asked for Staff clarification on Amanda's reservation.
 - City Attorney clarified that public comment is not for questioning Staff in an open forum.
 - Leo Miller- Questioned who is policing speeding. Upset about a neighbor's barn that is leaning into his property. States he has to walk around the barn to access his weir. Feels he cannot access private property to access his weir.
 - Staff indicated there is an open code enforcement complaint that is being worked.
 - Tom Dickerson- 7664 N Mt. Carrol; reported that the Contractor working on Prairie has no flaggers present.

- Les Likes- 6847 N 15th St.; Numerous deer kills by cougars in the City creating a danger to kids in neighborhood. Former Deer Committee member. Wants the feeding of deer to stop. Presented graphic photos of attacked deer for City Council to view.
- Paul McGavin- California Presenter asked for proper deliberations for the small cell ordinance public hearing.
- Lezlie Laviola- upset that there are deer committees.
- James Kimball- 6975 N 16th St; Wants new and replacement septic systems to be required to put in a ground water monitoring system to prevent contamination of the aquifer.
- Jamie Smith- 6871 N Mt. Carrol; Les Likes has always had pictures of mutilated deer. Alleged cougar kills should be verified by Idaho Fish & Game. Concerned about rumors of secret committees.

Public Comment was closed at 6:44 PM

6. PUBLIC HEARING on DAS SMALL CELL ZONING AMENDMENT- opened at 6:45 PM

- a. Ryan Hughes, City Planner gave overview of scope of proposed DAS small cell Ordinance. This is the first step and not meant to be a comprehensive Telecom Ordinance.
- b. Chris Gabbert, City Attorney stated the proposed ordinance is an attempt to create a framework to process applications as there is not anything currently in place. Without a framework, we face being taken advantage of. Federal law precludes the City from excluding certain facilities from zones, and attempting to regulate based on wireless frequencies. Recommendation of City Attorney and City Planner to invest time and energy to get outside telecom expert help to draft larger comprehensive telecom ordinance. The City will be unshielded until something is on the books.
- c. Public Comment was opened at 7:02 PM; closed at 8:36 PM
The following people spoke against the adoption of the ordinance
 - David Scheibel
 - Ed Price
 - Carolyn Schultz
 - Jacquelyn H
 - James Robinson
 - Georgette Rude
 - Brooke Bagette
 - Mira Moses
 - Mona Moses
 - Jon Moses
 - Sandra DesCombs
 - Ingrid Cassel- written statement
 - Ann Wilder
 - Daniel Chiew
 - Clark Young
 - Curt Jernigan
 - Lucy Jernigan
 - Mike Chase
 - Mark Cook
 - Jacqueline Holfka
 - Sue Supp
 - Stephanie Fetzer

7. CONSIDERATION OF DAS SMALL CELL ZONING AMENDMENT

- a. CChase made a motion to deny the zoning ordinance draft for small cell. RCraft seconded.
AO'Brien: Yes RCraft: Yes CChase: Yes RWuest: Yes. Motion Carries.

- b. CChase made a motion directing City Attorney to work with Paul McGavin, Sue Supp, Andrew Campenelli, and other attorneys who have successfully protected residents from small cell to draft a new small cell ordinance. RCraft seconded.
AO'Brien: Yes RCraft: Yes CChase: Yes RWuest: Yes. Motion Carries.

8. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

- a. **10/14/21**
 - RWuest- Lighted crosswalk at Dalton and Mt. Carrol for safety of school children
 - CChase- Discussion and action on additional stop signs at Deerhaven, Wilbur, and 4th
- b. **11/3/21**
 - RWuest- Annexation of east end of Dalton Ave.
- c. RWuest- asked the City to pull all ROW permits that have boring in the roads, issue a stop work order, and have a time of review with himself and Matt Hall of HMH Engineering to verify their depths are correct. Concerned about depth of boring endangering Dalton Water lines.
 - i. RHughes- if they are not complaint with the permit, then a stop work order is appropriate.
 - ii. CGabbert- if you have specific examples of violations then yes, the stop work order is appropriate.
 - iii. TJanzen- asked if we are instructing HMH to inspect for violations. RWuest stated there is no violation, it's a problem with the permit structure. He will contact HMH directly.

- 9. ADJOURNMENT:** CChase made a motion to adjourn at 9:18 PM. RCraft seconded. All in favor. Motion Carries.

Dan Edwards, Mayor

ATTEST:

Teresa Janzen, City Clerk/ Treasurer

City of Dalton Gardens
Council Meeting Minutes
Thursday, October 14, 2021 @ 6:00pm
Meeting was conducted via teleconference and in person.

Location: DALTON GARDENS CITY HALL, 6360 N 4th St., Dalton Gardens, ID

Meeting was conducted via teleconference and in person.

- 1. CALL TO ORDER:** Mayor Edwards called the meeting to order at 6:00 PM.
- 2. ROLL CALL OF CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS:** Physically Present were Councilmembers Carrie Chase, Robert Wuest, Ray Craft, and Aaron O'Brien. Mayor Edwards joined via Zoom. Also physically present were Ryan Hughes- City Planner, Chris Gabbert- City Attorney, and Teresa Janzen- Clerk/Treasurer.
- 3. PLEDGE OF ALLIGANCE:** Led by Councilmember O'Brien.
- 4. 7a. CONSIDERATION OF OUTSIDE COUNSEL FOR SMALL CELL ORDINANCE**

Norm Samenko, an Idaho Attorney and Andrew Campenelli, a New York Attorney were present via Zoom as telecom ordinance experts.

RWuest made motion to contract with Andrew Campenelli for a flat fee of \$8500 and with Mr. Samenko for a not to exceed amount of \$6000 for the creation of a small cell ordinance. CChase seconded.

AO'Brien: Yes **RCraft:** Yes **CChase:** Yes **RWuest:** Yes Motion Carries.

- 5. 4-PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD:** opened at 6:50 PM
 - Mayor Edwards read a written statement from Ben Hector-6320 N Mt. Carrol St
 - Clerk Janzen read a written statement from Jamie Smith-6871 N Mt. Carrol St.; supports stop signs that have Engineer approval. Concerned about unapproved stop signs causing liability to the City.
 - Clerk Janzen read a written statement from Justin Brodin-6944 Rude St; does not support stop sign without the approval of the City Engineer. Concerned diverted traffic will travel Rude.
 - Gary Sonnen- 7447 N Valley; opposed to unwarranted stop signs. Suggests City listens to the Engineering experts.
 - Clark Young-7064 N 16th St; Questioned where in Dalton Gardens there is limited cell signal
 - Pam Legg- 6727 Davenport; strongly opposes stop signs on 4th that would push traffic onto Davenport.
 - Joe Meyers-7424 N Mt. Carrol; opposes stop signs not supported by Engineers. Concerned stop signs will divert traffic to other streets. Wants Dalton Water Association bill tabled and researched.
 - Lezlie Laviola- 1527 E Woodland; supports stop sign on 4th and Wilbur.
- Public Comment was closed at 7:07 PM

6. APPROVAL CONSENT CALENDAR

- a. AO'Brien made motion to approve claims listed with the removal of the Dalton Water Association bill for fire hydrants for \$40,438.62 and to change the vendor name of Consolidated Supply to Cooperative Supply for \$136.33. CChase seconded.
AO'Brien: Yes RCraft: Yes CChase: Yes RWuest: Yes Motion Carries.
- b. RWuest made a motion to approve the monthly financial statement for September 1-30, 2021. RCraft seconded.
AO'Brien: Yes RCraft: Yes CChase: Yes RWuest: Yes Motion Carries.
- c. RCraft made a motion to approve the 4th Quarter financial statement for fiscal year 2021. AO'Brien seconded.
AO'Brien: Yes RCraft: Yes CChase: Yes RWuest: Yes Motion Carries.

7. CITY REPORTS

- a. Council Reports
 - RCraft- no report
 - RWuest- Working with Ward Newcomb's Granddaughter to get arch for City Park rebuilt. Has also been working with Jody Hiltenbrand to find solution for Dalton Elementary sign. Addressed rumors of unauthorized spending. Council approved chip seal costs. Clarified that a deer committee does not exist at this time. Tried to get in touch with HMM regarding ROW permits.
 - AO'Brien- no report
 - CChase- met with Sheriff Norris and talked about proof of concept plan for ability to address speeding traffic.
- b. Mayor-he misspoke to indicate there is a deer committee. He was referring to the Idaho Fish & Game, Idaho Bowman's Assoc, Mayor and Council. He has met with Sheriff Norris who has begun vetting potential deputies for the City of Dalton Gardens. Once vetted, he will present 2-3 options to the Mayor for his choice of the deputy to be assigned to the City.
- c. City Planner- review his written report
- d. City Engineer- submitted a written report
- e. City Attorney- met with Code Enforcement, City Planner, Mayor, and Clerk to discuss inconsistencies in code as how it relates to enforcement of the code. City needs to determine how they want to approach enforcement. Proactively look for violations or wait for official complaints from residents? Has reached out to the Attorney for Isaac Reinert about the 18th Street turnaround but have not reached resolution at this time.
- f. Clerk/Treasurer- consistent flow of residents into City Hall. CdA Press has stopped publishing physical papers on Monday. This caused Ord 272 to be republished. All open complaints have been moved to online portal. Close to launching historical data migration piece of OpenGov will be full scale push this fall and winter. Issuing last paper permit application soon. Currently in Pre-Audit prep. Audit begins Nov 15th. Spoke with HMM and Transportation Plan Update 2021 is due 10/31/21. HMM has pulled ROW permits that have expired but have not been completed. Incentivizing Utility companies to reapply via the online portal for permits that need extensions. We do not currently have an inspection step built in for the Engineers to go check each utility company's depths. Reviewing the permitting process for what can be improved.

8. 7b. CONSIDERATION OF ADDITIONAL STOP SIGNS AT 4TH St., DEERHAVEN, WILBUR, AND CANFIELD

- RWuest made motion to table until the Transportation Plan is completed. AO'Brien seconded.
AO'Brien: Yes RCraft: No CChase: No RWuest: Yes Mayor Edwards: Yes
 Motion Carries.

9. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

- Fee Schedule adjustments- may require a public hearing

10. ADJOURNMENT: RCraft made a motion to adjourn at 8:16 PM. CChase seconded. All in favor. Motion Carries.

Dan Edwards, Mayor

ATTEST:

Teresa Janzen, City Clerk/ Treasurer



City of Dalton Gardens

6360 N Fourth Street, Dalton Gardens,
ID 83815 Phone: (208) 772-3698 Fax:
(208) 762-5156

Monthly Planner Activity Report

October 29, 2021

Code amendments

At their October 7th public hearing, the City Council reviewed the proposed P&Z recommended ordinance regulating small cell wireless facilities and associated distributed antenna systems (DAS). In their deliberations, Council voted to postpone adoption of the proposed ordinance and has subsequently sought to retain outside legal counsel in drafting and updated ordinance. This City is expected to receive a draft from the selected attorney within a few months' time.

Other code concerns which staff have identified include city fees, definitions of the Accessory Living Units, and escalation provisions in regard to enforcement of code violations. These have been presented to the Planning and Zoning Commission for their consideration and prioritization.

Variances / Special Use Permits

No new variances or special use permit applications were received by the City during the month.

Subdivisions

A final plat (mylar) for the previously reviewed and approved 2-lot short subdivision was received by the City. No new subdivision requests have been submitted.

Building Permits

The City has caught up with the long backlog of building permits. However, building permit activity remains steady. The OpenGov application has proven successful to-date, and is crucial in tracking permit activity, status and communications both internally and with applicants. As building permit activity slows during the winter months, a backlog of code-enforcement complaints can begin to be addressed by staff.

A pre-development meeting was held for proposed development of a vacant lot in the commercial district. We anticipate that a request for permits will be coming into the city over the next couple months.

Code enforcement

Staff has begun working on developing policies and procedures relating to code enforcement. This includes creating an escalation policy for various types of violations. It also includes development of a number of educational brochures to assist the code enforcement officer and staff in communicating with residents. Gaps and weaknesses in Dalton Gardens City Code have been (and are being) identified. These include unclear definitions (eg. What constitutes an Accessory Living Unit?), and a clear articulation of escalation, penalties, and enforcement of violations. Staff will continue to work through each of these items, supporting the code enforcement officer and improving communication with residents.

Annexation

Annexation of portions of Dalton Avenue is ongoing and with the city attorney for drafting an annexation ordinance for adoption by City Council.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Ryan Hughes", with a stylized flourish at the end.

Ryan Hughes
City Planner

RESOLUTION NO. _____

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF DALTON GARDENS, KOOTENAI COUNTY, IDAHO CONSENTING TO ANNEXATION

WHEREAS, the City of Dalton Gardens has a deeded ownership interest in real property identified as the North 40 feet of the Northwest ¼ of the Southeast ¼ of Section 31, Township 51 North, Range 3 West, Boise Meridian, Kootenai County, Idaho; and

WHEREAS, the property described above is located in unincorporated Kootenai County, adjacent to the boundaries of the City of Dalton Gardens; and

WHEREAS, the City of Dalton Gardens desires to and consents to annex said property into the City limits in order to assure the efficient provision of municipal services.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Mayor and City Council of the City of Dalton Gardens, Idaho that the City of Dalton Gardens consents to annexation of the above-described real property into the City of Dalton Gardens and to the recording of this Resolution in the Kootenai County Recorder's Office pursuant to Idaho Code § 50-222 as evidence thereof.

\\
\\
\\
DATED this ___ day of November, 2021.

Dan Edwards, Mayor

ATTEST:

Teresa Janzen, City Clerk

CITY OF DALTON GARDENS

CITY POLICY – DRAFT 10.26.21

CITY COUNCIL/ADMINISTRATION

City Policy

The adoption of new policies and the revision and amending of existing policies shall be the responsibility of the City Council with input from Administration and City Staff. All policies shall conform to local, state, and federal laws.

Policy Development

Written policies are the primary means by which the Council governs the City. As such, the Council shall use policy development as a means to articulate the Council's vision for City priorities.

Policies:

1. Chart a course of action;
2. Are subject to state and federal laws and regulations;
3. Give direction to and through the Mayor on how the City will operate;
4. Serve as guidelines for the Council's own operations; and
5. Direct the successful and efficient functioning of the City.

The Council recognizes that changes in needs, conditions, and laws will require revisions, deletions, and additions to the policies. The Council encourages suggestions and proposals for policy changes from any individual or group affected by the City's policies.

The Council directs the Mayor or designee to use an orderly and defined process in examining and preparing policy proposals for Council action, including, but not limited to:

1. considering the impact the policy proposal will have on municipal governance, consistency, and the overall operation of the City;
2. gathering relevant research and data;
3. aligning policies with the Comprehensive Plan;
4. communicating with and encouraging input from those that will be affected by the policy change; and
5. ensuring that policy, procedure and practice are consistent with one another.

If a policy is reviewed and no changes are deemed necessary, it is brought to the Council for information only. When revisions of policies are for grammatical and/or minor clarification, the Mayor at their discretion, may waive the process for presentation and take immediate action.

The process by which the Mayor or their designee accomplishes policy review is reserved as an administrative function. As such, the process will be presented to the Council for information but

will not require Council approval for a revision to the process. However, the Council will reserve the right to require revision to the policy review process if deficiencies or conflicts are discovered.

Adoption and Amendment of Policies

Adoption of new policies or the revision or repeal of existing policies is solely the responsibility of the Council. Proposed new policies and proposed changes in existing policies shall be presented in writing for reading and discussion at a regular or special Council meeting. Such proposals may be referred to the Mayor for detailed study as needed prior to Council action on the proposal. The Council encourages the Mayor to contact other experts to have potential policies researched. Interested parties, including Council members, citizens, or employees of the City may submit views, present data or arguments, orally or in writing, in support of or in opposition to the proposed policy. Any written statement by a person relative to a proposed policy or amendment should be directed to the City Clerk prior to the meeting considering policy adoption.

During the discussion of a policy proposal, the role of the Council is to:

1. Consider the Mayor or designee's research, data, and recommendations;
2. Consider the relations of the proposed policy to the Comprehensive Plan and budget;
3. Identify any financial obligations, costs or impacts associated with or required with the policy;
4. Consider the views of those affected by the policy;
5. Determine if the draft clearly communicates a course of action;
6. Ensure the draft accurately reflects the Council's intent;
7. Determine the impact the proposal will have on municipal governance, consistency, and the overall operation of the City;
8. Seek public comment;

Proposed new policies and proposed changes in existing policies shall be considered by the Council in the following manner:

1. At a regular Council meeting, the proposed new or amended policy shall be presented in writing for reading and discussion; and
2. The final vote for adoption shall take at or after the meeting in which the presentation and discussion of the particular policy occurred.

Although approval of a new or amended policy requires Council approval, temporary approval may be granted by the Council or Administration in lieu of formal policy to meet emergency conditions or special events which will take place before formal action can be taken. Any such actions taken to adopt or implement emergency policy should be ratified by Council action at the next available regular Council meeting.

All new or amended policies shall become effective upon adoption unless a specific effective date is provided in the motion for adoption.

Policies, as adopted or amended, shall be made a part of the minutes of the meeting at which action was taken and shall also be included in the City's policy manual, which shall be maintained by the Administration.

Policy Manuals

The Administration and City Staff shall develop and maintain a current policy manual that contains the policies of the City. Council as well as staff shall have access to the manual. All policy manuals distributed to anyone shall remain the property of the City and shall be subject to recall at any time.

Legal References:

I.C. § 50-302	Promotion of General Welfare
I.C. § 50-701	Composition - Powers
I.C. § 50-607	General Powers

Policy History:

Adopted on:

Revised on:



5676 E. Seltice Way
 Post Falls, Idaho 83854
 1-855-738-2722

Prepared By: Angelica Goyen /Kevin Hanson
 angelica.goyen@trafficalm.com

QUOTE

Quote #: 8546TC
 Date Issued Oct 26, 2021
 Valid Until: Nov 26, 2021
 Date Modified: Oct 26, 2021 03:53 PM
 Customer: 623
 Customer Number: 623

Created For:

Company: City of Dalton Gardens
Contact: Valerie S. Anderson
Email: clerk@daltongardens.com
Phone: 208-661-1859
Street: 6360 North Fourth Street
City, State: Dalton Gardens, Idaho
Zip Code: 83815

Ship To:

Company: City of Dalton Gardens
Contact: Ray Craft
Email: rcraft@daltongardens.com
Phone: 208-661-1859
Street: 6360 North Fourth Street
City, State: Dalton Gardens, Idaho
Zip Code: 83815

SKU	Item & Description	QTY	Cost Ea.	Ext. Cost	Discount	Amount
M75-SA300-CTL3	30 Watt Solar Intelligent Sign Controller (All flash, P2C, Chevron or Conflict Intersection) - Communicates & Commands TC Collaborators, Wi-Fi & Mesh Net	1	\$ 1,494.00	\$ 1,494.00	\$ 657.36	\$ 836.64
M75-SA300-CLB3	30 Watt Solar Collaborator(All Flash, Push to Cross, Chevron or Conflict Intersection) - Communicates & Commanded by TC Controller, Mesh Net Communication, No Wi-Fi	1	\$ 1,396.00	\$ 1,396.00	\$ 614.24	\$ 781.76
M75-BDL34-0000	BDL3-Y Push Button w/ R10-25 Sign and PBF9X12-Y Sign Frame	2	\$ 392.00	\$ 784.00	\$ 344.96	\$ 439.04
M75-SA328-0000	Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon, Amber, W/ Black Aluminum Housing	4	\$ 712.00	\$ 2,848.00	\$ 1,253.12	\$ 1,594.88
K25-24124-0011	24 x 12 Arrow (Left), W16-7pL, YELLOW Arrow sign used for P2C	2	\$ 62.00	\$ 124.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 124.00
K24-24124-0011	24 x 12 Arrow (Right), W16-7pR, YELLOW Arrow sign used for P2C	2	\$ 62.00	\$ 124.00	\$ 54.56	\$ 69.44
K04-30304-0011	30 x 30" Pedestrian Crossing Sign W11-2 , Yellow	4	\$ 196.00	\$ 784.00	\$ 344.96	\$ 439.04
M75-R3030-BA47	30" 4GRP Flasher Ring, Warning, Amber	4	\$ 702.00	\$ 2,808.00	\$ 1,235.52	\$ 1,572.48
029-05728-0000	Telespar Pole 14ft x 2in Diameter	2	\$ 118.00	\$ 236.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 236.00
029-05729-0000	Telespar Anchor 30" x 2.25" Diameter	2	\$ 28.00	\$ 56.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 56.00

Sub Total \$ 6,149.28

Shipping Cost \$ 0.00

Grand Total \$ 6,149.28

Terms & Conditions

1. Payment Terms: Net 30
2. Shipping Terms: FOB:Post Falls, ID
3. Lead Time: Shipment Within 20 Business Days After Receipt of Order

4. All Credit Card Payments Will Incur a 3% Processing Fee

5. Sales tax, if applicable, will be calculated at time of invoicing and based upon current tax rates, may be higher than originally quoted

6. All sales are considered final. In rare cases, a return may be requested within 90 days of receipt of the order. If approved and authorized by TrafficCalm, it will incur a 30% restocking fee of the original sale cost. Returned material must be in original packaging and have never been installed or electrically powered. Credit will only be given once this has been verified by the TrafficCalm Quality Department. The cost of return shipping in an undamaged manner is the responsibility of the customer.

7. The line items referenced in the quote above represent the items that TrafficCalm intends to supply. Anything not included is assumed to be provided by others

Notes:

Customer Acceptance (sign below):

It is hereby certified that the amount required to meet the contract, agreement, obligation, payment or expenditure above, has been lawfully appropriated or authorized or directed for such purpose and is in the Treasury. (Municipalities and Government Agencies) I am authorized to financially bind this entity to the terms of this agreement.

✕ _____

Print Name: _____ DATE: ____/____/____

CHAPTER 4L. FLASHING BEACONS

Section 4L.01 General Design and Operation of Flashing Beacons

See *Idaho Statute 49-804 –Flashing Signals* – for the definition of flashing (signals) Beacons.

Section 4L.02 Intersection Control Beacon

See *Idaho Statute 49-804 –Flashing Signals* – for the meaning of flashing beacon (signal) indications.

Section 4L.03 Warning Beacon

In accordance with *IDAPA Rule 39.03.41 –Rules Governing Traffic Control Devices* – beacons cannot be included within the border of a sign.

Rectangular Rapid-Flashing Beacons (RRFB) may be used as a pedestrian-actuated conspicuity enhancement for pedestrian and school crossing warning signs. ITD has received interim approval from FHWA to use RRFBs. Local agencies must request interim approval from FHWA to use RRFBs on roadways within their jurisdictions.

Figure 4L-1 Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon



The following conditions apply to the use of the RRFB:

1. General Conditions:
 - a. Each RRFB unit shall consist of two rapidly flashed rectangular-shaped yellow indications with an LED-array-based light source, and shall be designed, located, and operated in accordance with the detailed requirements specified below.
 - b. The use of RRFBs is optional. However, if RRFBs are used, the following design and operational requirements shall apply, and shall take precedence over any conflicting provisions of the MUTCD for the approach on which RRFBs are used:
2. Allowable Uses:
 - a. An RRFB shall only be installed to function as a pedestrian-actuated conspicuity enhancement.

- b. An RRFB shall only be used to supplement a post-mounted W11-2 (Pedestrian), S1-1 (School), or W11-15 (Trail) crossing warning sign with a diagonal downward arrow (W16-7P) plaque, or an overhead-mounted W11-2, S1-1, or W11-15 crossing warning sign, located at or immediately adjacent to an uncontrolled marked crosswalk.
 - c. Except for crosswalks across the approach to or egress from a roundabout, an RRFB shall not be used for crosswalks across approaches controlled by YIELD signs, STOP signs, traffic control signals, or pedestrian hybrid beacons.
 - d. In the event sight distance approaching the crosswalk at which RRFBs are used is less than deemed necessary by the engineer, an additional RRFB may be installed on that approach in advance of the crosswalk, as a pedestrian-actuated conspicuity enhancement to supplement a W11-2 (Pedestrian), S1-1 (School), or W11-15 (Trail) crossing warning sign with an AHEAD (W16-9P) or distance (W16-2P or W16-2aP) plaque. If an additional RRFB is installed on the approach in advance of the crosswalk, it shall be supplemental to and not a replacement for the RRFBs at the crosswalk itself.
3. Sign/Beacon Assembly Locations:
- a. For any approach on which RRFBs are used to supplement post-mounted signs, at least two W11-2, S1-1, or W11-15 crossing warning signs (each with an RRFB unit and a W16-7P plaque) shall be installed at the crosswalk, one on the right-hand side of the roadway and one on the left-hand side of the roadway. On a divided highway, the left-hand side assembly should be installed on the median, if practical, rather than on the far left-hand side of the highway.
 - b. An RRFB unit shall not be installed independent of the crossing warning signs for the approach that the RRFB faces. If the RRFB unit is supplementing a post-mounted sign, the RRFB unit shall be installed on the same support as the associated W11-2, S1-1, or W11-15 crossing warning sign and plaque. If the RRFB unit is supplementing an overhead-mounted sign, the RRFB unit shall be mounted directly below the bottom of the sign.
4. Beacon Dimensions and Placement in the Sign Assembly:
- a. Each RRFB shall consist of two rectangular-shaped yellow indications, each with an LED-array-based light source. The size of each RRFB indication shall be at least 5 inches wide by at least 2 inches high.
 - b. The two RRFB indications for each RRFB unit shall be aligned horizontally, with the longer dimension horizontal and with a minimum space between the two indications of at least 7 inches, measured from the nearest edge of one indication to the nearest edge of the other indication.
 - c. The outside edges of the RRFB indications, including any housings, shall not project beyond the outside edges of the W11-2, S1-1, or W11-15 sign that it supplements.
 - d. As a specific exception to Paragraph 5 of Section 4L.01 of the 2009 MUTCD, the RRFB unit associated with a post-mounted sign and plaque may be located between and immediately adjacent to the bottom of the crossing warning sign and the top of the supplemental downward diagonal arrow plaque (or, in the case of a

supplemental advance sign, the AHEAD or distance plaque) or within 12 inches above the crossing warning sign, rather than the recommended minimum of 12 inches above or below the sign assembly. (See the example photo that is shown below.)

5. Beacon Flashing Requirements:

- a. When actuated, the two yellow indications in each RRFB unit shall flash in a rapidly flashing sequence.
- b. As a specific exception to the requirements for the flash rate of beacons provided in Paragraph 3 of Section 4L.01, RRFBs shall use a much faster flash rate and shall provide 75 flashing sequences per minute. Except as provided in Condition 5f below, during each 800-millisecond flashing sequence, the left and right RRFB indications shall operate using the following sequence:

The RRFB indication on the left-hand side shall be illuminated for approximately 50 milliseconds.

Both RRFB indications shall be dark for approximately 50 milliseconds.

The RRFB indication on the right-hand side shall be illuminated for approximately 50 milliseconds.

Both RRFB indications shall be dark for approximately 50 milliseconds.

The RRFB indication on the left-hand side shall be illuminated for approximately 50 milliseconds.

Both RRFB indications shall be dark for approximately 50 milliseconds.

The RRFB indication on the right-hand side shall be illuminated for approximately 50 milliseconds.

Both RRFB indications shall be dark for approximately 50 milliseconds.

Both RRFB indications shall be illuminated for approximately 50 milliseconds.

Both RRFB indications shall be dark for approximately 50 milliseconds.

Both RRFB indications shall be illuminated for approximately 50 milliseconds.

Both RRFB indications shall be dark for approximately 250 milliseconds.

- c. The flash rate of each individual RRFB indication, as applied over the full flashing sequence, shall not be between 5 and 30 flashes per second to avoid frequencies that might cause seizures.
- d. The light intensity of the yellow indications during daytime conditions shall meet the minimum specifications for Class 1 yellow peak luminous intensity in the Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE) Standard J595 (Directional Flashing Optical Warning Devices for Authorized Emergency, Maintenance, and Service Vehicles) dated January 2005.

- e. To minimize excessive glare during nighttime conditions, an automatic signal dimming device should be used to reduce the brilliance of the RRFB indications during nighttime conditions.
 - f. Existing RRFB units that use the flashing sequence that was specified in the Interim Approval 11 memorandum and a subsequent interpretation (the RRFB indication on the left-hand side emits two slow pulses of light after which the RRFB indication on the right-hand side emits four rapid pulses of light followed by one long pulse of light) should be reprogrammed to the flash pattern specified above in Condition 5b as part of a systematic upgrading process, such as when the units are serviced or when the existing signs are replaced.
6. Beacon Operation:
- a. The RRFB shall be normally dark, shall initiate operation only upon pedestrian actuation, and shall cease operation at a predetermined time after the pedestrian actuation or, with passive detection, after the pedestrian clears the crosswalk.
 - b. All RRFB units associated with a given crosswalk (including those with an advance crossing sign, if used) shall, when actuated, simultaneously commence operation of their rapid-flashing indications and shall cease operation simultaneously.
 - c. If pedestrian pushbutton detectors (rather than passive detection) are used to actuate the RRFB indications, a Push Button To Turn On Warning Lights (R10-25) sign (see MUTCD Figure 2B-26) shall be installed explaining the purpose and use of the pedestrian pushbutton detector.
 - d. The duration of a predetermined period of operation of the RRFBs following each actuation should be based on the procedures provided in Section 4E.06 of the 2009 MUTCD for the timing of pedestrian clearance times for pedestrian signals.
 - e. The predetermined flash period shall be immediately initiated each and every time that a pedestrian is detected either through passive detection or as a result of a pedestrian pressing a pushbutton detector, including when pedestrians are detected while the RRFBs are already flashing and when pedestrians are detected immediately after the RRFBs have ceased flashing.
 - f. A small pilot light may be installed integral to the RRFB or pedestrian pushbutton detector to give confirmation that the RRFB is in operation.
7. Accessible Pedestrian Features:
- a. If a speech pushbutton information message is used in conjunction with an RRFB, a locator tone shall be provided.
 - b. If a speech pushbutton information message is used in conjunction with an RRFB, the audible information device shall not use vibrotactile indications or percussive indications.
 - c. If a speech pushbutton information message is used in conjunction with an RRFB, the message should say, "Yellow lights are flashing." The message should be spoken twice.

Section 4L.04 Speed Limit Sign Beacon

No supplemental information.

City of Dalton Gardens

2022 Meeting Schedules

CITY COUNCIL	PLANNING & ZONING
1 st Thursday of the Month	4 th Thursday of the Month
1/6/2022	1/27/2022
2/3/2022	2/24/2022
3/3/2022	3/24/2022
4/7/2022	4/28/2022
5/5/2022	5/26/2022
6/2/2022	6/23/2022
7/7/2022	7/28/2022
8/4/2022	8/25/2022
9/1/2022 <i>*no financials</i>	9/22/2022
10/6/2022	10/27/2022
11/3/2022	11/17/2022 <i>*3rd Thursday</i>
12/1/2022 <i>*no financials</i>	12/15/2022 <i>*3rd Thursday</i>

All City meetings are open to the Public. Assistance for persons with disabilities will be provided upon 24-hour notice prior to the meeting by calling the City Clerk at (208) 772-3698 ext 102.